A FOURIER INTEGRAL FORMULA FOR LOGARITHMIC ENERGY

L. FRERICK, J. MÜLLER, AND T. THOMASER

ABSTRACT. A formula which expresses logarithmic energy of Borel measures on \mathbb{R}^n in terms of the Fourier transforms of the measures is established and some applications are given. In addition, using similar techniques a (known) formula for Riesz energy is reinvented.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

The notions of logarithmic potential and logarithmic energy play a central role in potential theory in particular in dimension two as well as in free probability. Recommended introductions are [14] and [21] for the classical theory and [18] for the more recent theory of free probability. We consider arbitrary dimension $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and write $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for the set of all complex Borel measures on \mathbb{R}^n and $\mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for the subset of nonnegative μ . With $|\mu|$ denoting the total variation of $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and |x| denoting the euclidean norm of $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we write

$$p_{\mu}(x) := \int \ln\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) \, d\mu(y) \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\pm \infty\}$$

if $y \mapsto \ln |x - y|$ is integrable with respect to $|\mu|$ or $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the integral exists in $[-\infty, \infty]$. Moreover, we write $\mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for set of all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$\int \int |\ln (|x-y|)| \ d|\mu|(y) \ d|\mu|(x) < +\infty$$

and $\mathcal{M}_{0,+}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for the set of all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the property that the integral $\int \int \ln |x-y| d\mu(y) d\mu(x)$ exists in $[-\infty, +\infty]$. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \cup \mathcal{M}_{0,+}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the logarithmic potential p_{μ} of μ exists μ -almost everywhere and

$$I(\mu) := \int \int \ln\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) d\mu(y) d\bar{\mu}(x) = \int p_{\mu}(x) d\bar{\mu}(x)$$

is called the logarithmic energy of μ . By definition, $I(\mu)$ is a complex number for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $I(\mu) \in [-\infty, \infty]$ for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{0,+}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $\mu \ge 0$ with

$$\int \ln(1+|x|) \, d\mu(x) < +\infty$$

then $I(\mu) > -\infty$ thanks to $|x - y| \le (1 + |x|)(1 + |y|)$. In particular, every $\mu \ge 0$ with compact support lies in $\mathcal{M}_{0,+}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let

$$\mathbb{S}^{n-1} := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = 1 \}$$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 31A15, 31B15.

Key words and phrases. Logarithmic energy, logarithmic potential, Riesz energy, Riesz potential.

denote the (n-1)-sphere, σ_{n-1} the surface measure of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} and ω_{n-1} the corresponding area, given by $2\pi^{n/2}/\Gamma(n/2)$. In particular, $\mathbb{S} := \mathbb{S}^1$ is the unit circle in $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$. Writing $a_k := \int_{\mathbb{S}} \zeta^k d\mu(\zeta)$ for Fourier coefficients of a complex measure μ supported on \mathbb{S} , a basic fact is that the logarithmic energy can be expressed by

(1)
$$2I(\mu) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|a_k|^2}{|k|} \,.$$

This is an identity if $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$ or $\mu \ge 0$ and serves as definition of $I(\mu)$ for arbitrary complex μ (cf. [13], p. 35, [11]). In particular, in all cases $I(\mu) \in [0, \infty]$. The identity can be seen by expanding

$$2\ln\left(\frac{1}{|z-rw|}\right) = 2\operatorname{Re}\log\left(\frac{1}{1-rz\overline{w}}\right) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}}\frac{r^{|k|}}{|k|}z^k\overline{w}^k$$

for 0 < r < 1 and taking the limit $r \to 1$ (cf. [4], Section 2.4). It might come as a surprise that $a_0 = \mu(\mathbb{S})$ does not enter the scene. This can be explained by the fact that the logarithmic potential of the arclength measure σ_1 vanishes on \mathbb{S} and, as a consequence, $I(\mu)$ equals $I(\mu + \lambda \sigma_1)$ for arbitrary μ and scalars λ (cf. [17], p. 119).

Our aim is to establish a continuous *n*-dimensional version of a formula for the logarithmic energy of appropriate measures μ in terms of the Fourier transform

$$\widehat{\mu}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\xi \cdot x} \, d\mu(x) \qquad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n)$$

and the generalized hypergeometric function K_n , defined by

$$K_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(n/2, k)k!} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2k} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C})$$

with the Pochhammer symbol $(\alpha, k) := \Gamma(\alpha + k)/\Gamma(\alpha)$. In particular, one obtains $K_1 = \cos$ and in the case n = 2, in which the logarithmic potential coincides with the Newtonian, $K_2 = J_0$, where J_{α} denotes the Bessel function of first kind and order α . More generally, K_n can be expressed in terms of $J_{n/2-1}$ as

$$K_n(t) = 2^{n/2-1} \Gamma(n/2) J_{n/2-1}(t) / t^{n/2-1} \quad (t > 0).$$

With these notations, the main result on mutual logarithmic energy reads as follows:

Theorem 1.1. Let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$\int \int |\ln \left(|x - y| \right)| \, d|\mu|(y) \, d|\nu|(x) < \infty$$

or $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the property that $\int p_{\mu} d\nu$ exists in $[-\infty, +\infty]$. Then

(2)
$$\omega_{n-1} \int p_{\mu} d\bar{\nu} = \lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \to 0 \\ N \to \infty}} \int_{\varepsilon \le |\xi| \le N} \left((\widehat{\mu} \, \overline{\widehat{\nu}})(\xi) - \mu(\mathbb{R}^n) \overline{\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n) K_n(|\xi|) \right) \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^n}$$

In particular, for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \cup \mathcal{M}_{0,+}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

(3)
$$\omega_{n-1}I(\mu) = \lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \to 0 \\ N \to \infty}} \int_{\varepsilon \le |\xi| \le N} \left(|\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 - |\mu(\mathbb{R}^n)|^2 K_n(|\xi|) \right) \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^n}.$$

If $\widehat{\mu}\,\overline{\widehat{\nu}} - \mu(\mathbb{R}^n)\overline{\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is locally integrable at the origin with respect to $d\xi/|\xi|^n$, then the integrand function on the right hand side in (2) has the same property and the double-sided limit reduces to a one-sided $\lim_{N\to\infty}$. This holds if $\widehat{\mu}\,\overline{\widehat{\nu}}$ is Dini continuous at 0, which is in particular the case if μ and ν have compact support.

We will frequently use the following substitution rule for the *n*-dimensional Lebesgue measure, a proof of which can be found e.g. in [6], p. 78: If $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ is Lebesgue integrable, then

(4)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\xi) d\xi = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(r\zeta) d\sigma_{n-1}(\zeta) r^{n-1} dr.$$

Due to the fact that $J_{\alpha}(t) = O(t^{-1/2})$ as $0 < t \to \infty$ for $\alpha \ge 0$ (see [19], 10.17.3), the function $t \mapsto K_n(t)/t$ is absolutely integrable at $+\infty$ for $n \ge 2$ and improperly integrable for n = 1. Hence,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{1 \le |\xi| \le N} \frac{K_n(|\xi|)}{|\xi|^n} \, d\xi = \omega_{n-1} \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_1^N \frac{K_n(r)}{r} \, dr$$

exists and so, by monotonicity, for arbitrary complex Borel measures the limit $N \to \infty$ in (3) also exists as value in $(-\infty, \infty]$. This implies that the function $|\hat{\mu}|^2$ is integrable at ∞ with respect to $d\xi/|\xi|^n$ if $I(\mu)$ is finite and that

$$I(\mu) := \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int \left(|\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 - |\mu(\mathbb{R}^n)|^2 K_n(|\xi|) \right) \, \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^n}$$

extends the definition of logarithmic energy to arbitrary complex Borel measures having compact support.

Choosing $d\mu(x) = \varphi(x) dx$, where φ belongs to the Schwartz space S, we obtain from (2) with the Dirac measure δ at 0 and $\hat{\delta} = 1$

$$\omega_{n-1} \int \log(1/|x|) \,\varphi(x) \, dx = \omega_{n-1} \int p_{\mu} \, d\delta = \int \left(\widehat{\varphi}(\xi) - \widehat{\varphi}(0) K_n(|\xi|) \, \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^n},\right.$$

where the integral is improper in the case n = 1. Since $(\widehat{\varphi})^{\wedge} = (2\pi)^n \varphi(-\cdot)$, replacement of φ by $\widehat{\varphi}$ implies that we have

(5)
$$-(2\pi)^{-n}\omega_{n-1}(\log(|\cdot|)^{\wedge}=T)$$

as temperate distribution, where

$$\Gamma\psi := \int \left(\psi(\xi) - \psi(0)K_n(|\xi|) \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^n} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left(\int_{\varepsilon \le |\xi|} \psi(\xi) \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^n} - d_n(\varepsilon)\psi(0)\right) \quad (\psi \in \mathcal{S})$$

with

$$d_n(\varepsilon) := \omega_{n-1} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} K_n(r) \frac{dr}{r}$$

(cf. [23], p. 44, 258). In this way, $(\log|\cdot|)^{\wedge}$ is represented in a different form from the more common

$$-(2\pi)^{-n}\omega_{n-1}(\log|\cdot|)^{\wedge} = S_s + c_n(s)\delta$$

with

$$S_s \psi = \int \left(\psi(\xi) - \psi(0) \mathbf{1}_{[0,s]}(|\xi|) \right) \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^n} \qquad (\psi \in \mathcal{S})$$

for s > 0 and $c_n(s)$ a suitable constant (see e.g. [3], p. 161). By comparing the two representations it turns out that

$$c_n(s) = \omega_{n-1} \int_0^\infty (1_{[0,s]}(r) - K_n(r)) \frac{dr}{r} \, dr$$

In Section 3 the integrals are calculated inductively from their values for n = 1, 2.

Remark 1.2. From the main theorem in [16] it follows that for measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ each of the conditions $I(\mu), I(\nu) \in (-\infty, \infty]$ and $I(\mu), I(\nu) \in [-\infty, \infty)$ is sufficient for the existence of $\int p_{\mu} d\nu$ in $[-\infty, +\infty]$ and that

$$2\int p_{\mu}\,d\nu \leq I(\mu) + I(\nu)$$

with the integral on the left hand side $> -\infty$ if $I(\mu), I(\nu) \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, this implies that for arbitrary $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\int \int |\ln \left(|x - y| \right)| \, d|\mu|(y) \, d|\nu|(x) < \infty \, .$$

Interesting enough, $\int p_{\mu} d\nu = -\infty$ may exist for measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_{+}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \setminus \mathcal{M}_{0,+}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$, as the example in [16], p. 3340, shows.

In Section 2 we give the proof of Theorem 1.1 (which uses only classical methods) and Section 3 contains some applications. Applying similar techniques, in Section 4 we present an alternative proof of a known Fourier integral formula for Riesz energy.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

A main goal is that the formulas for nonnegative measures hold both in the cases that the energy is finite and is not. We start with three quite simple tools, where the first one is an "improper" version of the Cauchy-Frullani theorem (cf. [20]). Recall that $L_{\text{loc}}(0,\infty)$ is the set of all Lebesgue measurable functions $g:(0,\infty) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $g1_K$ is integrable for all compact $K \subset (0,\infty)$. Moreover, we write $||g||_{\infty}$ for the essential supremum of |g|.

Lemma 2.1. Let $g \in L_{loc}(0, \infty)$ and $u \in \mathbb{C}$. If $t \mapsto g(t)/t$ is improperly integrable $at \infty$ and $t \mapsto (g(t) - u)/t$ is improperly integrable at 0, then for arbitrary a > 0

$$\lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \to 0 \\ N \to \infty}} \int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \frac{g(ra) - g(r)}{r} \, dr = u \ln\left(\frac{1}{a}\right) \, .$$

If, in addition, g is essentially bounded, then

$$\left| \int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \frac{g(ra) - g(r)}{r} \, dr \right| \le 2(\|g\|_{\infty} + |u|) |\ln(a)|.$$

Proof. We may assume that 0 < a < 1. For fixed $0 < \varepsilon < N < +\infty$ we have

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \frac{g(ra) - g(r)}{r} dr = \int_{\varepsilon a}^{Na} \frac{g(r)}{r} dr - \int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \frac{g(r)}{r} dr = \left(\int_{\varepsilon a}^{\varepsilon} - \int_{Na}^{N}\right) \frac{g(r)}{r} dr$$
$$= u \int_{\varepsilon a}^{\varepsilon} \frac{dr}{r} + \int_{\varepsilon a}^{\varepsilon} \frac{g(r) - u}{r} dr - \int_{Na}^{N} \frac{g(r)}{r} dr.$$

The first term is $u \ln(1/a)$. According to Cauchy's criterion, the second term vanishes as ε tends to 0 and the third as N tends to ∞ . Moreover, if g is essentially bounded we obtain that

$$\left| \int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \frac{g(ra) - g(r)}{r} \, dr \right| \le 2(||g||_{\infty} + |u|) \left| \ln(a) \right|.$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $g \in L_{loc}(0, \infty)$ be real-valued with $u := \operatorname{ess sup} g < \infty$ and such that $t \mapsto (u - g(t))/t$ is integrable at 0. Then, for all N > 0 we have

$$\int_0^N \frac{g(ra) - g(r)}{r} \, dr \begin{cases} \ge 0, & a \in [0, 1] \\ \le 0, & a \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}.$$

Proof. The assertion follows from the (essential) nonnegativity of u - g and

$$\int_{0}^{N} \frac{g(ra) - g(r)}{r} dr = -\int_{0}^{N} \frac{u - g(ra)}{r} dr + \int_{0}^{N} \frac{u - g(r)}{r} dr$$
$$= -\int_{0}^{aN} \frac{u - g(r)}{r} dr + \int_{0}^{N} \frac{u - g(r)}{r} dr.$$

Lemma 2.3. Let g satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 with the same u. Then, there are R, c > 0 such that for each a > R and each $0 < \varepsilon < 1 < N$

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \frac{g(at) - g(t)}{t} \, dt \le \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u - g(t)}{t} \, dt + c.$$

Proof. We choose R > 0 such that

$$\left| \int_{x}^{y} \frac{g(t)}{t} \, dt \right| \le 1$$

whenever $x, y \ge R$. If $a \in [R, \infty)$ and $N > 1 > \varepsilon > 0$, then

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \frac{g(at) - g(t)}{t} dt = \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \frac{g(at) - g(t)}{t} dt + \int_{1}^{N} \frac{g(at)}{t} dt - \int_{1}^{N} \frac{g(t)}{t} dt$$
$$= \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \frac{g(at) - u}{t} dt + \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \frac{u - g(t)}{t} dt$$
$$+ \int_{a}^{aN} \frac{g(t)}{t} dt - \int_{1}^{N} \frac{g(t)}{t} dt$$
$$\leq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{u - g(t)}{t} dt + c$$

where the constant c > 0 is chosen such that $\sup_{N > 1} \int_{1}^{N} t^{-1}g(t) dt \ge -c + 1.$

Remark 2.4. With the aid of the functions K_n one can express the Fourier transform of the surface measure σ_{n-1} . More precisely, since

$$\omega_{n-1} = 2\pi^{n/2} / \Gamma(n/2)$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have (see e.g. [24], p. 154, or [9], p. 428) (6) $\widehat{\sigma}_{n-1}(\xi) = \omega_{n-1}K_n(|\xi|) \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n).$ In particular,

$$-1 \le K_n(t) \le \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} 1 \, d\sigma_{n-1}(\xi) = K_n(0) = 1 \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Due to the fact that $J_{\alpha}(t) = O(t^{-1/2})$ as $t \to \infty$ for $\alpha \ge 0$ (see [19], 10.17.3) one sees that $K_n|_{(0,\infty)}$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 2.1 with u = 1 and, since $K_n(t) = 1 + O(t^2)$ as $t \to 0$, also the assumptions of Lemma 2.2 are fulfilled. Finally, because $K'_n(0) = 0$ and $K''_n(0) < 0$ we see that K_n is decreasing in some interval $[0, \delta]$. Writing $\Delta_a(t) := K_n(at) - K(t)$, for $a \in [0, 1)$ and $N > 1 > \delta > \varepsilon > 0$ we thus obtain by Lemma 2.2

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \frac{K_n(at) - K_n(t)}{t} dt = \int_{0}^{N} \frac{\Delta_a(t)}{t} dt - \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\Delta_a(t)}{t} dt \ge -\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{\Delta_a(t)}{t} dt$$
$$\ge -\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{\Delta_a(t)}{t} dt \ge -\int_{a\delta}^{a} \frac{\Delta_a(t)}{t} dt$$
$$\ge -\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1 - K_n(t)}{t} dt.$$

Now, we are in a position to give the

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Fix $0 < \varepsilon < N < +\infty$. Then, by Fubini's theorem and (4)

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\varepsilon \le |\xi| \le N} \left(\widehat{\mu}(\xi) \cdot \overline{\widehat{\nu}(\xi)} - \mu(\mathbb{R}^n) \overline{\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n) K_n(|\xi|) \right) \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^n} \\ &= \int_{\varepsilon \le |\xi| \le N} \left[\left(\int e^{i\xi x} d\mu(x) \right) \cdot \left(\int e^{-i\xi y} d\overline{\nu}(y) \right) - \mu(\mathbb{R}^n) \overline{\nu}(\mathbb{R}^n) K_n(|\xi|) \right] \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^n} \\ &= \int \int_{\varepsilon \le |\xi| \le N} \frac{e^{i\xi(x-y)} - K_n(|\xi|)}{|\xi|^n} d\xi \, d(\mu \otimes \overline{\nu})(x,y) \\ &= \int \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\varepsilon}^N \frac{e^{ir\zeta(x-y)} - K_n(r)}{r} \, dr \, d\sigma_{n-1}(\zeta) \, d(\mu \otimes \overline{\nu})(x,y). \end{split}$$

Another application of Fubini's theorem and (6) give us

$$\int \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \frac{e^{ir\zeta(x-y)} - K_n(r)}{r} \, dr \, d\sigma_{n-1}(\zeta) \, d(\mu \otimes \bar{\nu})(x,y)$$

=
$$\int \int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} e^{ir(x-y)} \, d\sigma_{n-1}(\zeta) \right) - \omega_{n-1} K_n(r) \right] \frac{dr}{r} \, d(\mu \otimes \bar{\nu})(x,y)$$

=
$$\omega_{n-1} \int \int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \frac{K_n(r|x-y|) - K_n(r)}{r} \, dr \, d(\mu \otimes \bar{\nu})(x,y).$$

First, suppose that

$$\int \int |\ln(|x-y|)| \, d|\mu|(x) \, d|\nu|(y) < +\infty.$$

Then we necessarily have $(|\mu| \otimes |\nu|)(\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : x = y\}) = 0$. Therefore, if we take $g = K_n$ and u = g(0) in Lemma 2.1 (see Remark 2.4) the dominated

convergence theorem yields that

$$\lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \to 0 \\ N \to \infty}} \int \int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \frac{K_n(r|x-y|) - K_n(r)}{r} \, dr \, d(\mu \otimes \bar{\nu})(x,y)$$
$$= \int \ln\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) \, d(\mu \otimes \bar{\nu})(x,y) = \int p_\mu \, d\bar{\nu}.$$

Now, let $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that

$$\int \ln\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) \, d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y) = +\infty.$$

In this case,

$$\int_{\{|x-y|<1\}} \ln\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) \, d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y) = +\infty$$

since

$$\int_{\{|x-y|\ge 1\}} \ln\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y) \in (-\infty,0].$$

The same argument implies together with Lemma 2.1, Remark 2.4 and the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \to 0\\N \to \infty}} \int_{\{|x-y| \ge 1\}} \left(\int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \frac{K_n(r|x-y|) - K_n(r)}{r} \, dr \right) \, d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y)$$

exists and is finite. Therefore, we only have to show that

$$\liminf_{\substack{\varepsilon \to 0\\N \to \infty}} \int_{\{|x-y|<1\}} \left(\int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \frac{K_n(r|x-y|) - K_n(r)}{r} \, dr \right) \, d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y) = +\infty.$$

By Remark 2.4, we know that

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \frac{K_n(r|x-y|) - K_n(r)}{r} \, dr \ge -\int_0^1 \frac{1 - K_n(r)}{r} \, dr$$

for |x-y| < 1 and sufficiently large N and small ε . Therefore, we can apply Fatou's lemma (notice that $\mu \otimes \nu$ is finite) and get with $K_n(0) = 1$

$$\begin{split} & \liminf_{\substack{\varepsilon \to 0 \\ N \to \infty}} \int_{\{|x-y| < 1\}} \left(\int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \frac{K_n(r|x-y|) - K_n(r)}{r} \, dr \right) \, d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y) \\ & \geq \int_{\{x=y\}} \liminf_{\substack{\varepsilon \to 0 \\ N \to \infty}} \left(\int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \frac{1 - K_n(r)}{r} \, dr \right) \, d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y) \\ & + \int_{\{0 < |x-y| < 1\}} \ln\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) \, d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y) \end{split}$$

If $(\mu \otimes \nu)(\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : x = y\}) > 0$, then the first integral is equal to $+\infty$ since

$$\liminf_{\substack{\varepsilon \to 0 \\ N \to \infty}} \int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \frac{1 - K_n(t)}{t} \, dt = +\infty.$$

If $(\mu \otimes \nu)(\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : x = y\}) = 0$, the second integral is equal to $+\infty$. Suppose finally that $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\int \ln\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) \, d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y) = -\infty.$$

Then,

$$\int_{\{|x-y|\geq T\}} \ln\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) \, d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y) = -\infty$$

for all $T \ge 1$ since

$$\int_{\{|x-y| \le T\}} \ln\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) \, d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The same argument implies together with Lemma 2.1 and the dominated convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \to 0\\N \to \infty}} \int_{\{|x-y| \le T\}} \left(\int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \frac{K_n(r|x-y|) - K_n(r)}{r} \, dr \right) \, d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y)$$

exists and is finite for all $T \ge 1$. If we pick $g = K_n$ in Lemma 2.3, then there is some R > 1 such that for all $|x - y| \ge R$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1 < N$

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \frac{K_n(r|x-y|) - K_n(r)}{r} \, dr \le \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1 - K_n(r)}{r} \, dr + c$$

for some constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ independent of x, y, ε and N. Therefore, we can apply Fatou's lemma since $\mu \otimes \nu$ is finite and get

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{\substack{\varepsilon \to 0 \\ N \to \infty}} \int_{\{|x-y| \ge R\}} \left(\int_{\varepsilon}^{N} \frac{K_n(r|x-y|) - K_n(r)}{r} \, dr \right) \, d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y) \\ \le \int_{\{|x-y| \ge R\}} \ln\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) \, d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y) = -\infty. \end{split}$$

3. Consequences of Theorem 1.1

Let us start with two illustrating examples, which also show that some interesting integrals involving J_0 emerge form Theorem 1.1:

According to (6), Theorem 1.1 and (4) imply that

$$I(\sigma_{n-1}) = \omega_{n-1}^2 \int_0^\infty (K_n^2(r) - K_n(r)) \frac{dr}{r} \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Since $K_2 = J_0$ and since, as already mentioned in the introduction, $I(\sigma_1) = 0$, we obtain

(7)
$$0 = \int_0^\infty (J_0^2(r) - J_0(r)) \frac{dr}{r}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 with $g = J_0^2$ we get, more generally,

(8)
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} (J_{0}^{2}(ar) - J_{0}(r)) \frac{dr}{r} = \ln\left(\frac{1}{a}\right)$$

for a > 0. The standard one-dimensional example in which $\hat{\mu}$ is known is the arcsine distribution

$$d\mu(t) = \frac{dt}{\pi\sqrt{1-t^2}}$$

on [-1, 1]. Here is (see [5], p. 11) $J_0 = \hat{\mu}$, but then with the Dirac measure δ_0 also $(\mu \otimes \delta_0)^{\wedge}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \hat{\mu}(\xi_1) = J_0(\xi_1) \qquad (\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}).$

Since $I(\mu) = I(\mu \otimes \delta_0)$, by choosing n = 2 in Theorem 1.1 and using (8) we have

$$I(\mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} (J_{0}^{2}(r\cos\theta) - J_{0}(r)) \frac{dr}{r} \, d\theta = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \ln\left(\frac{1}{\cos\theta}\right) d\theta = \ln 2 \, .$$

This is of course folklore but usually proved in a quite different way by exploiting some amount of potential theory in the plane. More precisely, one shows that μ is the equilibrium measure of [-1, 1], that is, μ minimizes logarithmic energy among all Borel probability measures on [-1, 1], and that the minimum is $\ln 2$ or, in other words, that the logarithmic capacity of [-1, 1] is $1/2 = e^{-\ln 2}$.

Also, taking n = 1 in Theorem 1.1 and using (7) leads to

$$\ln 2 = \int_0^\infty (J_0^2(r) - \cos r) \, \frac{dr}{r} = \int_0^\infty (J_0(r) - \cos r) \, \frac{dr}{r}$$

(see e.g. [1], Example 5.5, [12], p. 278). According to Lemma 2.1, we end at

(9)
$$\int_0^\infty (J_0(ar) - \cos r) \frac{dr}{r} = \ln\left(\frac{2}{a}\right)$$

for arbitrary a > 0. In particular, this implies that for n = 1 the function $K_1 = \cos$ in Theorem 1.1 may be replaced by $\xi \mapsto J_0(2|\xi|)$.

Remark 3.1. (cf. [23], p. 258, and [25], p. 118, for the case n = 2) Let s > 0. It is known that

$$\int_0^\infty (1_{[0,s]}(r) - \cos(r)) \, \frac{dr}{r} = \gamma + \ln(s) \,,$$

where γ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant (see e.g. [19], 6.2.13). In combination with (9) this gives

$$\int_0^\infty (1_{[0,s]}(r) - J_0(r)) \, \frac{dr}{r} = \gamma + \ln(s) - \ln(2)$$

More generally, we have

$$\int_0^\infty (1_{[0,s]}(r) - K_n(r)) \frac{dr}{r} = \begin{cases} \gamma + \ln(s/2) - \sum_{k=1}^{n/2-1} \frac{1}{2k}, & n \text{ even} \\ \gamma + \ln(s) - \sum_{k=1}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{1}{2k-1}, & n \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

Indeed: Since $K_1 = \cos$ and $K_2 = J_0$ the formula holds for n = 1 and n = 2. Now, suppose that the formula is true for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The recurrence formula for Bessel functions (see [19], 10.6.1) yields that

$$J_{n/2}(t) = \frac{t}{n} (J_{n/2-1}(t) + J_{n/2+1}(t)) \quad (t > 0).$$

Since

$$\int_0^\infty r^{-n/2} J_{n/2+1}(r) \, dr = \frac{1}{2^{n/2} \Gamma(n/2+1)}$$

(see e.g. [19], 10.22.43) we obtain that

$$\int_0^\infty (1_{[0,s]}(r) - K_{n+2}(r)) \frac{dr}{r} = \int_0^\infty \left(1_{[0,s]}(r) - \frac{2^{n/2} \Gamma(n/2+1) J_{n/2}(r)}{r^{n/2}} \right) \frac{dr}{r}$$
$$= \int_0^\infty (1_{[0,s]}(r) - K_n(r)) \frac{dr}{r} - \frac{1}{n}$$

and hence the formula holds for n+2.

Since

$$-\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} K_n(r) \frac{dr}{r} = \ln(\varepsilon) + \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} (1_{[0,1]}(r) - K_n(r)) \frac{dr}{r},$$

according to (5) we recover the representation of $(\log |\cdot|)^{\wedge}$ from [23], p. 258.

A next consequence of Theorem 1.1 is a characterization for the finiteness of the logarithmic energy for nonnegative measures.

Corollary 3.2. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{0,+}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\varepsilon \le |\xi| \le 1} (|\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 - |\mu(\mathbb{R}^n)|^2) \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^n}$$

exists in \mathbb{R} . Then $I(\mu)$ is finite if and only if $|\widehat{\mu}|^2$ is locally integrable at ∞ with respect to $d\xi/|\xi|^n$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.1 we know that

$$\omega_{n-1}I(\mu) = \lim_{\substack{\xi \to 0\\N \to \infty}} \int_{\varepsilon \le |\xi| \le N} \left(|\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 - |\mu(\mathbb{R}^n)|^2 K_n(|\xi|) \right) \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^n}.$$

According to the assumption and the smoothness of K_n , the limit in the equation above exists if and only if

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{1 \le |\xi| \le N} \left(|\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 - |\mu(\mathbb{R}^n)|^2 K_n(|\xi|) \right) \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^n}$$

exists. Recalling that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{1 \le |\xi| \le N} \frac{K_n(|\xi|)}{|\xi|^n} \, d\xi = \omega_{n-1} \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_1^N \frac{K_n(r)}{r} \, dr$$

is finite, we conclude that $I(\mu) \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{1 \le |\xi| \le N} \frac{|\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2}{|\xi|^n} \, d\xi = \int_{|\xi| \ge 1} \frac{|\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2}{|\xi|^n} \, d\xi < \infty.$$

As already mentioned in the introduction, the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ in the preceding corollary exists for arbitrary Borel measures having compact support. As a consequence, the equivalence statement of the corollary also holds for compactly supported complex measures.

Remark 3.3. We consider the linear space $\mathcal{M}_{00}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with vanishing total mass, that is $\mu(\mathbb{R}^n) = 0$. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{00}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and arbitrary $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, Theorem 1.1 says that

$$\omega_{n-1} \int p_{\mu} \, d\bar{\nu} = \lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \to 0 \\ N \to \infty}} \int_{\varepsilon \le |\xi| \le N} \left(\widehat{\mu} \, \overline{\widehat{\nu}}\right)(\xi) \, \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^n}.$$

In particular, for $\nu = \mu$ we get

$$\omega_{n-1}I(\mu) = \int |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 \, \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^n} \in [0,\infty) \, .$$

This may be seen as a continuous version of (1). It implies in particular that the energy integral is positive definite on the space $\mathcal{M}_{00}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This fact is well known

in the case of compactly supported, signed (real) measures in $\mathcal{M}_{00}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [8], Chapter III, Theorem 3.1, [22], Chapter I, Lemma 1.8, cf. also [7], [2], 5, III.5). Moreover (see [16]), if μ is a not necessarily finite signed measure with $\mu(\mathbb{R}^n) = 0$ and

$$\int \int \ln\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) \, d\mu(x) \, d\mu(y)$$

exists in $[-\infty, +\infty]$, then this integral is either ≥ 0 or equal to $+\infty$.

Since, according to continuity, $|\hat{\mu}|^2$ is not locally integrable with respect to $d\xi/|\xi|^n$ at the origin if $\mu(\mathbb{R}^n) \neq 0$, we have

Corollary 3.4. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then $\widehat{\mu} \in L^2(d\xi/|\xi|^n)$ if and only if $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{00}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and in this case $\omega_{n-1}I(\mu) = \|\widehat{\mu}\|_{L^2(d\xi/|\xi|^n)}^2$.

4. Riesz Energy

Logarithmic energy may be viewed as the limit case $\alpha \to 0$ of Riesz energies. For positive α and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we write

$$p_{\mu,\alpha}(x) := \int \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} d\mu(y) \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

if $y \mapsto |x - y|^{-\alpha}$ is integrable with respect to $|\mu|$ or $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, we write $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for the set of all complex Borel measures with

$$\int \int \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} \, d|\mu|(x) \, d|\mu|(y) < +\infty \, .$$

For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cup \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R})$ the Riesz potential $p_{\mu,\alpha}$ is defined μ almost everywhere and

$$I_{\alpha}(\mu) := \int p_{\mu,\alpha}(x) \, d\bar{\mu}(x) = \int \int \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} \, d\mu(y) \, d\bar{\mu}(x)$$

is called the Riesz energy of order α of μ . It is a well-known fact (see [15], p. 162, [14], Chapter VI) that if $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has compact support or $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\alpha < n$, then

(10)
$$\gamma_{n,\alpha}I_{\alpha}(\mu) = \int \frac{|\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2}{|\xi|^{n-\alpha}} d\xi$$

where

$$\gamma_{n,\alpha} = \omega_{n-1} \frac{2^{\alpha-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\alpha}{2}\right)} = \frac{2^{\alpha} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\alpha}{2}\right)} \,.$$

Here the situation is more convenient compared to the logarithmic case due to the fact that $\xi \mapsto |\xi|^{\alpha} |\hat{\mu}|^2(\xi)$ is locally integrable with respect to $d\xi/|\xi|^n$ at the origin. Arbitrary complex measures are considered in [10], where Riesz energy is defined by the formula (10). Finally, according to the pre-Hilbert space structure of the space of signed measures in $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ endowed with the inner product $(\mu, \nu) \mapsto \int p_{\mu,\alpha} d\nu$ (see e.g. [14], p. 82), the mixed integral $\int p_{\mu,\alpha} d\bar{\nu}$ exists in \mathbb{C} whenever $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (and of course in $[0, \infty]$ for nonnegative μ, ν).

Applying similar techniques as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 one can show the following result, in which α is restricted to be less than (n + 1)/2 due to the fact that K_n has to be (at least improperly) integrable at ∞ with respect to $t^{\alpha-1}dt$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $0 < \alpha < (n+1)/2$. If $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or if $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$\int \int \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} \, d|\mu|(x) \, d|\nu|(y) < +\infty$$

then

$$\gamma_{n,\alpha} \int p_{\mu,\alpha} \, d\bar{\nu} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{|\xi| \le N} |\xi|^{\alpha} \, (\widehat{\mu} \, \overline{\widehat{\nu}})(\xi) \, \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^n} \, .$$

In particular, for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^n) \cup \mathcal{M}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\gamma_{n,\alpha}I_{\alpha}(\mu) = \int |\xi|^{\alpha} |\widehat{\mu}(\xi)|^2 \, \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^n}.$$

Proof. Let N > 0. Then, by Fubini's theorem, (4) and (6)

$$\begin{split} \int_{|\xi| \le N} |\xi|^{\alpha} (\widehat{\mu} \,\overline{\widehat{\nu}})(\xi) \, \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^n} &= \int \int \left(\int_{|\xi| \le N} e^{it(x-y)} |t|^{\alpha-1} \, dt \right) \, d\mu(x) \, \overline{\nu}(y) \\ &= \int \left(\int \int_0^N e^{ir\zeta(x-y)} r^{\alpha-1} \, dr \, d\sigma_{n-1}(\zeta) \right) d(\mu \otimes \overline{\nu})(x,y) \\ &= \omega_{n-1} \int \left(\int_0^N K_n(r|x-y|) r^{\alpha-1} \, dr \right) \, d(\mu \otimes \overline{\nu})(x,y). \end{split}$$

First, suppose that

$$\int \int \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} \, d|\mu|(x) \, d|\nu|(y) < +\infty.$$

Then, we have $(|\mu| \otimes |\nu|)(\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : x = y\}) = 0$. If $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x \neq y$, then by definition of K_n and [19], 10.22.43

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_0^N K_n(t|x-y|) t^{\alpha-1} dt = \frac{2^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} \int_0^\infty J_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(t) t^{\alpha-\frac{n}{2}} dt$$
$$= \frac{2^{\alpha-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-\alpha}{2}\right) |x-y|^{\alpha}}$$

as well as

$$\left| \int_{0}^{N} K_{n}(|x-y|t)t^{\alpha-1} dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} \cdot \sup_{M>0} \left| \int_{0}^{M} K_{n}(t)t^{\alpha-1} dt \right|$$

Therefore, the dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{|\xi| \le N} |\xi|^{\alpha} (\widehat{\mu} \, \overline{\widehat{\nu}})(\xi) \, \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|^n}$$
$$= \omega_{n-1} \lim_{N \to \infty} \int \left(\int_0^N K_n(r|x-y|)r^{\alpha-1} \, dr \right) \, d(\mu \otimes \overline{\nu})(x,y)$$
$$= \gamma_{n,\alpha} \int \int \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} \, d\mu(x) \, d\overline{\nu}(y)$$

Now, let μ and ν be positive measures such that

$$\int \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y) = +\infty.$$

Then, we necessarily have

$$\int_{\{|x-y|<1\}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y) = +\infty$$

since

$$\int_{\{|x-y|\geq 1\}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y) \in [0,\infty).$$

The same argument implies together with the dominated convergence that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{\{|x-y| \ge 1\}} \left(\int_0^N K_n(r|x-y|) r^{\alpha-1} \, dr \right) \, d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y)$$

exists and is finite. Therefore, we only have to show that

$$\liminf_{N \to \infty} \int_{\{|x-y| < 1\}} \left(\int_0^N K_n(r|x-y|) r^{\alpha-1} \, dr \right) \, d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y) = +\infty.$$

But since

$$\int_{0}^{N} K_{n}(r|x-y|)r^{\alpha-1} dr = \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} \int_{0}^{|x-y|N} K_{n}(r)r^{\alpha-1} dr$$
$$\geq \inf_{M>0} \int_{0}^{M} K_{n}(r)r^{\alpha-1} dr$$

whenever |x - y| < 1, Fatou's lemma (notice that $\mu \otimes \nu$ is finite) gives us

$$\begin{split} \liminf_{N \to \infty} & \int_{\{|x-y| < 1\}} \left(\int_0^N K_n(r|x-y|) r^{\alpha-1} \, dr \right) \, d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y) \\ & \geq \int_{\{x=y\}} \liminf_{N \to \infty} \left(\int_0^N r^{\alpha-1} \, dr \right) \, d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y) \\ & + \gamma_{n,\alpha} \int_{\{0 < |x-y| < 1\}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} \, d(\mu \otimes \nu)(x,y). \end{split}$$

If $(\mu \otimes \nu)(\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : x = y\}) > 0$, then the first integral is equal to $+\infty$ since

$$\liminf_{N \to \infty} \int_0^N r^{\alpha - 1} \, dr = +\infty.$$

If $(\mu \otimes \nu)(\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : x = y\}) = 0$, then the second integral equals $+\infty$. \Box

Acknowledgment The authors are grateful to Lutz Mattner, Norbert Ortner, Peter Wagner and Jochen Wengenroth for several helpful suggestions and comments. Special thanks to Norbert Ortner for his letter containing a number of valuable informations around the subject.

References

- Bravo, S., Gonzalez, I., Kohl, K.T., Moll, V.H., Integrals of Frullani type and the method of brackets, Open Math., 15 (2017).
- [2] Deny, J., Les potentiels d'énergie finie, Acta Math., 82 (1950), 107-183.
- [3] Donoghue, W.F., Distributions and Fourier Transforms, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
- [4] El-Fallah, O., Kellay, K., Mashreghi, J., Ransford, T., A Primer on the Dirichlet Space, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.

- [5] Erdélyi, A., Magnus, W., Oberhettinger, F., Tricomi, F.G., Tables of integral transforms. Vol. I. Based, in part, on notes left by Harry Bateman. McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1954.
- [6] Folland, G.B., Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and Their Applications, 2nd ed., Wiley, New York, 1984.
- [7] Fukushima, M., Logarithmic and linear potentials of signed measures and Markov property of associated Gaussian fields, Potential Anal., 49 (2018), 359-379.
- [8] Garnett, J.B., Marshall, D.E., Harmonic Measure. New Mathematical Monographs, 2. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [9] Grafakos, L., Classical Fourier Analysis, 2nd edition, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [10] Hare, K.E., and Roginskaya, M.A., A Fourier series formula for energy of measures with applications to Riesz products, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2003), 165-174.
- [11] Hare, K.E., Mohanty, P., Roginskaya, M.A., A general energy formula, Math. Scand. 101 (2007), 29-47.
- [12] Humbert, P., Bessel-integral functions. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., 3 (1933), 276-285.
- [13] Kahane, J.-P., Ensembles Parfaits et Series Trigonometriques, Hermann, Paris, 1963.
- [14] Landkof, N.S., Foundations of Modern Potential Theory, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [15] Mattila, P., Geometry of Sets and Measures in Euclidean Spaces: Fractals and Rectifiability, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [16] Mattner, L., Strict definiteness of integrals via complete monotonicity of derivatives. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), 3321-3342.
- [17] Meckes, E.S., The Random Matrix Theory of the Classical Compact Groups, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2019.
- [18] Mingo, A.M., Speicher, R., Free Probability and Random Matrices, Fields Institute Monographs, Vol. 35, Springer, New York, 2017.
- [19] Olver, F.W.J., Lozier, D.W., Boisvert, R.F., Clark, C.W., The NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2010.
- [20] Ostrowski, A.M., On Cauchy-Frullani integrals, Comment. Math. Helvetici 51 (1976), 57-91.
- [21] Ransford, T., Potential Theory in the Complex Plane, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [22] Saff, E.B., Totik, V., Logarithmic Potentials with External Fields, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [23] Schwartz, L. Théorie des distributions, Hermann, Paris, 1966.
- [24] Stein, E.M., Weiss. G., Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1971.
- [25] Vladimirov, V.S., Generalized Functions in Mathematical Physics, Mir Publishers, Moscow, 1979.

UNIVERSITY OF TRIER, FB IV, MATHEMATICS D-54286 TRIER, GERMANY *Email address:* frerick@uni-trier.de

UNIVERSITY OF TRIER, FB IV, MATHEMATICS D-54286 TRIER, GERMANY *Email address:* jmueller@uni-trier.de

UNIVERSITY OF TRIER, FB IV, MATHEMATICS D-54286 TRIER, GERMANY *Email address*: thomaser@uni-trier.de