

Bernstein's theorem, inversion formula of Post and Widder, and the uniqueness theorem for Laplace transforms

L. Mattner

Abstract: A short and natural development of the theorems mentioned in the title is given.

Introduction

Let μ denote a probability measure on the halfline $[0, \infty)$. Then the function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\varphi(t) = \int_{[0, \infty)} e^{-tx} d\mu(x) \quad (1)$$

is called the Laplace transform of μ . What functions φ arise as Laplace transforms of probability measures? If φ is given by (1), then we necessarily have

- (i) φ is continuous in $[0, \infty)$ with $\varphi(0) = 1$,
- (ii) φ possesses in $(0, \infty)$ derivatives of all orders with $(-1)^n \varphi^{(n)}(t) \geq 0$ ($t > 0$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$).

A celebrated theorem of S. Bernstein states that the above conditions are in turn sufficient for the existence of a probability measure μ such that (1) holds.

Theorem (Bernstein). *Every function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies (i) and (ii) is the Laplace transform of a probability measure on $[0, \infty)$.*

The principle aim of the paper is to give a short and natural proof of this theorem. It is not significantly longer than Feller's proof (see [5, Chapter XIII.4]) but contains no ad hoc elements and does not presuppose any knowledge on Laplace transforms.

Proof of Bernsteins Theorem

Proof. In addition to (i) and (ii) we may assume

- (iii) $\varphi(\infty) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(t) = 0$.

In fact, because φ is decreasing and nonnegative, this limit exists. In case $\varphi(\infty) = 1$, (1) holds with μ being the Dirac measure at zero, whereas if $\varphi(\infty) \in (0, 1)$, we can consider $\frac{\varphi - \varphi(\infty)}{1 - \varphi(\infty)}$ in place of φ .

Fix a $t > 0$. Since we want a representation of $\varphi(t)$ as an integral, it is natural to look at the Taylor series of φ about a point $A > 0$ with integral remainder for every positive integer n :

$$\varphi(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^k \varphi^{(k)}(A)}{k!} (A-t)^k + \int_t^A \frac{(s-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (-1)^n \varphi^{(n)}(s) ds.$$

Let A tend to infinity in the above formula, keeping n fixed. Since the integral, being bounded by $\varphi(t)$ and having a nonnegative integrand by assumption, converges to some finite limit, so does the sum for every n , which implies the convergence of every term. But the limits of these terms are independent of t , because of $\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A-t}{A} = 1$.

This shows the existence of constants c_n , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t) &= c_n + \int_t^\infty \frac{(s-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} (-1)^n \varphi^{(n)}(s) ds \\ &= c_n + \int_0^\infty \left(1 - \frac{t}{s}\right)_+^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n-1)!} s^{n-1} \varphi^{(n)}(s) ds \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

for every $t > 0$ and positive integer n , where in (2) and in what follows, x_+ denotes the greater of x and 0.

Now let t tend to infinity, for fixed $n \geq 2$. By dominated convergence the integral in (2) tends to zero which, together with (iii), implies that the c_n actually vanish.

By the substitution $s = n/x$ we get

$$\varphi(t) = \int_0^\infty \left(1 - \frac{tx}{n}\right)_+^{n-1} f_n(x) dx \quad (3)$$

for $t > 0$, where

$$f_n(x) := \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{n}{x}\right)^{n+1} \varphi^{(n)}\left(\frac{n}{x}\right) I(x > 0) \quad (4)$$

and $I(x > 0)$ denotes the indicator (or characteristic function) of the set $\{x > 0\}$. By dominated convergence, we see that (3) is valid even for $t = 0$. Thus the f_n are

probability densities. According to Helly's selection principle (see [5, p. 267]), there is a subsequence of (f_n) such that the sequence of the corresponding measures tends vaguely to a nonnegative measure μ on $[0, \infty)$ with $\mu([0, \infty)) \leq 1$. Together with the elementary fact, that $(1 - \frac{y}{n})_+^{n-1}$ converges in $[0, \infty)$ uniformly to e^{-y} , the validity of (1) follows. Putting $t = 0$, we see that μ is in fact a probability measure.

Inversion formula and uniqueness theorem

If we assume the uniqueness theorem for the Laplace transform as known, it becomes clear that passing to a subsequence in the above proof was in fact unnecessary. We thus get as a corollary the

Theorem. [Post-Widder inversion formula.] *If φ is the Laplace transform of the probability measure μ on $[0, \infty)$, then for every positive integer the function f_n defined by (4) is a probability density on $[0, \infty)$ and the sequence of the corresponding probability measures converges in distribution to μ .*

On the other hand, it is easy to prove the Post-Widder inversion formula directly in order to get the uniqueness theorem¹:

$$f_n(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(\frac{n}{x}\right)^{n+1} \int_0^\infty (-1)^n y^n e^{-\frac{n}{x}y} d\mu(y),$$

and we thus get for the cumulative distribution function F_n corresponding to f_n

$$F_n(A) = \int_0^A f_n(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \int_{1/A}^\infty \frac{(ny)^n}{(n-1)!} x^{n-1} e^{-nyx} dx d\mu(y).$$

Now the inner integral in the above formula gives the probability that a random variable with a Gamma distribution with expectation $\frac{1}{y}$ and variance $\frac{1}{ny^2}$ takes a value $\geq \frac{1}{A}$ (see [5, p. 47]). If $A \neq y$, this probability converges for $n \rightarrow \infty$ to $I(y < A)$. This implies the convergence of $F_n(A)$ to $F(A)$ if F is the distribution function μ and A is a point of continuity of F , and that is the content of the inversion formula.

Finally we should mention that the following seemingly more general version of Bernstein's theorem is in fact a corollary of the one proved above and the uniqueness theorem. For a proof see e.g. [1, Corollary 6.14, p. 135].

¹ The following argument is similar to that given in [2, pp. 293–294] to prove the uniqueness theorem via the Feller-Dubourdieu inversion formula. See also [5, Chapter VII.6].

Theorem. *If $\varphi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the condition (ii), then φ is the Laplace transform of a not necessarily finite nonnegative Borel measure on $[0, \infty)$.*

Remarks

- 1) In a sense, the above proof of Bernstein's theorem is given in [7, pp. 139–147]. But our presentation seems to be much more natural and does not presuppose knowledge of the Post-Widder inversion formula, which is the starting point of [7].
- 2) Other classically analytic proofs of Bernstein's theorem are given in [8], [4] and [5]. For modern proofs and generalizations, the reader may consult [6], [3] and [1].

References

1. C. Berg, J.P.R. Christensen and P. Ressel, "Harmonic Analysis on Semigroups," Springer, New York, 1984 [MR **86b**: 43001; Zbl. **619.43001**]
2. P. Billingsley, "Probability and Measure, Second Edition," Wiley, New York, 1986. [MR **87f**: 60001; Zbl. **649.60001**]
3. G. Choquet, "Lectures on Analysis, Volume II, Representation Theory," Benjamin, New York, 1969. [MR **40** (1970) 3253; Zbl. **181** (1970) 396]
4. W. Feller, On Müntz' Theorem and Completely Monotone Functions, Amer. Math. Monthly **75** (1968), 342–350. [MR **37** (1969) 5575; Zbl. **157** (1969) 115]
5. W. Feller, "An Introduction to Probability and Its Applications, Vol. II. Second Edition," Wiley, New York, 1971. [MR **42** (1971) 5292; Zbl. **158** (1969) 349]
6. R.R. Phelps, "Lectures on Choquet's Theorem," Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1966. [MR **33** (1967) 1690; Zbl. **135** (1967) 362]
7. D.V. Widder, The inversion of the Laplace integral and the related moment problem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1934), 107–200. [Zbl. **8** (1934) 306]
8. D.V. Widder, "The Laplace Transform," Princeton, 1946. [MR **3** (1942) 232]

Keywords completely monotone functions, Laplace transforms, integral representation

1980 Mathematics subject classifications: Primary 44A10; Secondary 60E10.

Received: 05.09.90

Universität Hamburg
 Institut für Mathematische Stochastik
 Bundesstraße 55
 D-2000 Hamburg 13